



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,951	10/19/1999	PAUL LIESENBERG	081862.P152	3474
7	590 03/31/2003			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		AGDEPPA, HECTOR A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	9
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		(7)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/420,951	LIESENBERG, PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hector A. Agdeppa	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	January 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	n				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	tion No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	, . ,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informat	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2642

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 1/13/03. Claim1 - 28 are now pending in the present application. **This action is made final.** 

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat 6,292,478 (Farris) in view of US Pat 6,512,768 (Thomas).

As to claims 1, 3 - 5, 7 - 9, 11 - 13, 15 – 17, 20, 22, 23, 26, and 28, Farris teaches a telecommunications system wherein the system includes a routing and administration server (RAS) 480 that includes routing table/databases for associating IP addresses of an Internet Telephony Server (ITS) 472, ITS 472 typically being connected to a central office, with the telephone numbers the telephone network 462 serves, those telephone numbers having an area code/NNX designation. Furthermore, note that for all practical purposes, transmitting update messages are inherent in telephony systems for the purposes of updating data or records, noting changes in system status etc. (Abstract, Figs. 9, 13A, 13B, Col. 12, line 60 – Col. 14, line 6)

What Farris does not teach is the use of labels for corresponding IP addresses. However, Thomas teaches that a known technique of avoiding longest match searches is "tag-switching" or "label-switching" which is merely assigning a "shorter" or more quickly accessed/assessed label to an IP address negating the need to look at an entire address. Furthermore, a popular method of performing this "label-switching" is Multi-

Art Unit: 2642

Protocol Label Switching (MPLS). Obviously the motivation for this is clearly to speed up system operation and make it more efficient. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to combine Farris and Thomas inasmuch as Thomas teaches that it is well known and popular to "tag-switch" or "label-switch" and also in view of the obvious motivation for making the system of Farris faster and/or more efficient. (Col. 2, lines 42 – 48 of Thomas)

As to claims 2, 6, 10, and 14, Farris has been discussed above. What Farris fails to teach is the assigning of an IP address to a central office. As discussed above, the IP address refers to an ITS. However, as also discussed above, the ITS is connected to a central office. For all intensive purposes, the ITS allows an IP address to be associated with telephone numbers being served by a central office. Simply, that the functionality is taken outside of the central office and put into the ITS. However, as the ITS is connected to the central office it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the functionality of the ITS in the central office as such would only be a design choice or preference.

As to claims 18 and 24, it the system uses IP addresses then an IP service layer will be employed as well. As for an ATM transport layer, ATM is merely one of a plurality of transport protocols which may be employed in a communications system and so it's use is obvious and well known. Moreover, see Fig. 5 of Thomas and Col. 3, lines 43 – 44 wherein an ATM frame is shown having an IP datagram in its payload field. This indicates that an IP service layer and ATM transport layer is used in the system of Thomas.

Art Unit: 2642

As to claims 19, 21, 25, and 27, the system of Farris is employed on an AIN system and therefore it is inherent that the initial address message (IAM) is a signaling system 7 (SS7) IAM message.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hector A. Agdeppa whose telephone number is 703-305-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Fri 9:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

H.A.A. March 19, 2003

> AHMAD F. MATAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Who make